

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/651,699      | 08/29/2003      | Michael Scott Sulprizio | SULPRI-43778        | 9133             |
| 26252           | 7590 10/19/2004 |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |
|                 | UERSFELD LOWR   | BLANCO, JAVIER G        |                     |                  |
| SUITE 1650      | GA AVENUE       | •                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| WOODLANI        | HILLS, CA 91367 |                         | 3738                | •                |

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 2  |   |   | 1.20                 |
|--|---|---|----------------------|
| ٠  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |                      |
| Office Anthon Ourses   | 10/651,699  | SULPRIZIO, MICI   | HAEL SCOTT           |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |                      |
|  | Javier G. Blanco  | 3738  |                      |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply  | appears on the cover sheet  | with the correspondence ac  | ldress               |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the maximum state of th | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of tood will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mutte, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered time  DNTHS from the mailing date of this c  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly.<br>ommunication. |
| Status   |   |   |                      |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29  | ) August 2003.  |   |                      |
|  | his action is non-final.  |   |                      |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde  |   |   | e merits is          |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |                      |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or   | lrawn from consideration.   |   |                      |
| Application Papers   |   |   |                      |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  |   | _   |                      |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a   |   |   |                      |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | •   |   | ED 1 121/d\          |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  |   |   |                      |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |                      |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the p  application from the International Burd  * See the attached detailed Office action for a least open content.  | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in<br>riority documents have bee<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | Application No en received in this National   | Stage                |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |                      |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  |   | v Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date   | •                    |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/I   Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |   | f Informal Patent Application (PT   | O-152)               |

Application/Control Number: 10/651,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

## **Prosthetic Foot**

Species A: Figures 1-4

Species B: Figures 5-7

Species C: Figure 10

Species D: Figure 11

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/651,699

Art Unit: 3738

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 703-605-4259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m.-4:00 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

**JGB** 

October 15, 2004

David H. Willse Primary Examiner